

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/967,184	Applicant(s) HEIKKILA ET AL.	
	Examiner Traviss C. McIntosh	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner).

(2) John Covert (Applicant's representative).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 June 2005

Time: 14:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JAMES O. WILSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)


 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Informed applicant that the case was in condition for allowance except for claim 1, which needed to have the preamble amended to clearly point out that which applicant's are claiming, wherein applicants said they would contact their client to discuss proposed amendment and get back to the examiner..